

# Business Planning / MTFS Options 2021/22 – 2025/26

PL20/34

Please fill this pro forma out fully. It is important that options brought forward from Stage 1 are worked up into fuller,

| Title of Option:               | CPZ - extension of controlled parking zone operational hours. |                      |                |  |  |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Priority:                      | Place   | Responsible Officer: | Ann Cunningham |  |  |
| Affected Service(s)<br>and AD: | Highways and Parking  | Contact / Lead:      | Ann Cunningham |  |  |

Description of Option:

The operational hours of two hour CPZs being extended to all day. £40k one off revenue investment - change to signage + Statutory consultation.

#### **Financial Benefits Summary**

Please provide indicative financial benefits information, including any initial investment costs below. Where figures are speculative and require further detailed work to refine these, please indicate this in the text box below.

| Revenue Impacts                           | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | Total |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| All figures shown on an incremental basis | £000s   | £000s   | £000s   | £000s   | £000s   | £000s |
| New net additional savings                |         | -       |         | - 230   | -       | - 230 |

| Initial One-Off Investment Costs | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | Total |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
|                                  | £000s   | £000s   | £000s   | £000s   | £000s   | £000s |
| Total                            | -       | -       | 40      | -       | -       | 40    |

#### Financial Implications Outline

• How have the savings above been determined? Please provide a brief breakdown of the factors considered.

•Is any additional investment required in order to deliver the proposal?

• If relevant, how will additional income be generated and how has the amounts been determined?

•Please describe the nature of one off implementation costs (if applicable)

CPZ - 2 hour enforcement to be increased to full day enforcement. Those assumptions are based on the fact that conditions in CPZ with reduced operational hours may change requiring a review of operational hours to ensure that residents have sufficient protections. In line with our policy position any changes to CPZ operational hours will only be implemented if supported by the community.

£40k one - off revenue investment required in first year - signage and TMOs

## **Delivery Confidence – Stage 1**

| At this stage, how confident are you that this  |              |
|---|--------------|
| option could be delivered and benefits realised |              |
| as set out?                                     | 2= Confident |
| (1 = not at all confident;                      |              |
| 5 = very confident)                             |              |
|   |              |

## Indicative timescale for implementation

| Est. start date for consultation DD/MM/YY  | Est. completion date for implementation   |
|--|---|
| Is there an opportunity for implementation before April 2021? Y/N ; any constraints? | No: This is subject to community support and that support is not sufficient at present. |

#### Implementation Details

•How will the proposal be implemented? Are any additional resources required?

•Please provide a brief timeline of the implementation phase.

•How will a successful implementation be measured? Which performance indicators are most relevant?

This will be subject to statutory consultation.

# Impact / non-financial benefits and disbenefits

What is the likely impact on customers and how will negative impacts be mitigated or managed?

**Positive Impacts** 

This will improve conditions in residential streets.

**Negative Impacts** 

Residents may not support extended hours

What is the impact on businesses, members, staff, partners and other stakeholders and how will this be mitigated or **Positive Impacts** This will improve road conditions for all stakeholders - less congested streets.

Negative Impacts

businesses may not favour extended controls.

How does this option ensure the Council is able to meet **statutory requirements**? This does not impact on our ability to meet statutory requirements.

### **Risks and Mitigation**

What are the main risks associated with this option and how could they be mitigated? (Add rows if required)

|      | Impact  | Probability |            |
|------|---------|-------------|------------|
| Risk | (H/M/L) | (H/M/L)     | Mitigation |

| The extension of those controls is subject to<br>community support. At present while there is a<br>need to extend controls in some of those CPZs<br>there is not yet sufficient support. It is expected<br>that support will increase, but other car<br>reduction / air quality initiatives such as ULEZ<br>may reduce the numbers of vehicles owned by<br>residents reducing congestion. | Η | Effective communication and links to transport strategy and air quality targets |
|---|---|---|
|   |   |   |

| Has the EqIA Screening Tool been completed for this proposal?<br>EqIA Screening Tool | NO |  |
|--|----|--|
| Is a full EqIA required?   | NO |  |

| Reviewed by              |            |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Director / AD            | [Comments] |  |  |  |  |
| name] Signature:         |            |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Date:      |  |  |  |  |
| Finance Business Partner | [Comments] |  |  |  |  |
| [name]                   | Signature: |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Date:      |  |  |  |  |